



ChemExpo 2019

Judging Criteria for Demonstrations and/or Activities



Presenters: _____

School: _____

Title of Presentation: _____

Reviewer: _____

Performance Descriptors

5	Excellent - meets and exceeds requirements in all regards
4	Good –well done; meets all requirements
3	Acceptable -meets most of the requirements
2	Marginal -meets some of the requirements while failing to meet others
1	Inadequate -fails to meet the requirements

Evaluation Criteria

Appropriateness: Did the activity pertain directly to the theme or was it only indirectly related?	1	2	3	4	5
Scientific Content: Was the scientific content correct? Was the desired phenomenon actually demonstrated?	1	2	3	4	5
Clarity of Presentation: Were the presenters articulate and able to be understood? Was the activity clearly visible to the audience?	1	2	3	4	5
Understanding: Did the presenters fully understand the science concepts? Were they able to answer questions from the audience and the judges?	1	2	3	4	5
Creativity: Was the activity engaging? Did it evoke interest?	1	2	3	4	5
Procedures: Was the activity presented in an attractive manner? Were the directions clear? If a write-up was provided, was it sufficiently detailed? Did it include the required information?	1	2	3	4	5
Safety: Was the activity done safely? Did it follow the safety guidelines?	1	2	3	4	5
Point totals of the above criteria					

Strengths:

Weaknesses: