

ChemExpo 2018 Activities/Demonstrations

Presenters: _____

School: _____

Title of Presentation: _____

Reviewer: _____

Performance Descriptors:

5	Excellent - meets and exceeds requirements in all regards
4	Good –well done; meets all requirements
3	Acceptable -meets most of the requirements
2	Marginal -meets some of the requirements while failing to meet others
1	Inadequate -fails to meet the requirements

Evaluation Criteria

Appropriateness: <i>Did the activity pertain directly to the theme or was it only indirectly related?</i>	1	2	3	4	5
Scientific Content: <i>Was the scientific content correct? Was the desired phenomenon actually demonstrated?</i>	1	2	3	4	5
Clarity of Presentation: <i>Were the presenters articulate and able to be understood? Was the activity clearly visible to the audience?</i>	1	2	3	4	5
Understanding: <i>Did the presenters fully understand the science concepts? Were they able to answer questions from the audience and the judges?</i>	1	2	3	4	5
Creativity: <i>Was the activity engaging? Did it evoke interest?</i>	1	2	3	4	5
Procedures: <i>Was the activity presented in an attractive manner? Were the directions clear? If a write-up was provided, was it sufficiently detailed? Did it include the required information?</i>	1	2	3	4	5
Safety: <i>Was the activity done safely? Did it follow the safety guidelines?</i>	1	2	3	4	5
Point totals of the above criteria					

Strengths:

Weaknesses: